1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney BRIAN STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9			
10 11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14		CR No. 07-668 PJH	
15		TIDLIL ATION AND IDDODOCEDI ODDED	
16	Plaintiff, S	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME	
17	v.)		
18	MELVIN PARKER,		
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	On December 19, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated		
22	that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from December 19, 2007		
23	through January 16, 2008. The parties represented that granting the continuance was		
24	//		
25			
26	//		
27	//		
28	//		

1	necessary for effective preparation and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of		
2	due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
3			
4	SO STIPULATED:		
5			
6		JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	
7		United States Attorney	
8	DATED: January 16, 2008	/s/ Denise Barton	
9		DENISE MARIE BARTON Assistant United States Attorney	
10			
11			
12	DATED: Isassan, 16, 2000	lal	
13	DATED: January 16, 2008	<u>/s/</u> STEVEN G. KALAR Attorney for MELVIN PARKER	
14		Audilley for MELVIN PARKER	
15			
16	As the Court found on December 19, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court		
17	finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public		
18	and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act		
19	calculations from December 19, 2007 through January 16, 2008 for effective preparation and		
20	continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested		
21	continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and		
22	continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a		
23	miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
24	SO ORDERED.		
25			
26	DATED:	ION. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON	
27		Inited States District Court Judge	
28			